

A47/A11 Thickthorn Junction

Scheme Number: TR010037

Volume 6

6.1 Environmental Statement

Chapter 5 – Air Quality

APFP Regulation 5(2)(a)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed
Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

March 2021

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**The Infrastructure Planning
(Applications: Prescribed Forms and
Procedure) Regulations 2009**

The A47/A11 Thickthorn Junction
Development Consent Order 202[x]

**ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT CHAPTER 5
AIR QUALITY**

Regulation Number:	Regulation 5(2)(a)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010037
Application Document Reference	TR010037/APP/6.1
BIM Document Reference	HE551492-GTY-EAQ-000-RP-LA-30001
Author:	A47/A11 Thickthorn Junction Project Team, Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
Rev 0	March 2021	Application Issue

Table of contents

5.	Air Quality	1
5.1.	Introduction	1
5.2.	Competent expert advice	2
5.3.	Legislation and policy framework	2
5.4.	Assessment methodology	5
5.5.	Assessment assumptions and limitations	15
5.6.	Study area	16
5.7.	Baseline conditions	18
5.8.	Potential impacts	24
5.9.	Design mitigation and enhancement measures	28
5.10.	Assessment of likely significant effects	29
5.11.	Monitoring	29
5.12.	Summary	29
5.13.	References	30
5.14.	Glossary	31

Tables

Table 5.1:	Air quality objectives for NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ for protection of human health	2
Table 5.2:	Summary of legislation, regulatory and policy framework	3
Table 5.3:	Update to guidance and scope of assessment	11
Table 5.4:	Judgement of significant air quality effects	13
Table 5.5:	Guidance to the number of properties informing a judgement of significant air quality effects	14
Table 5.6:	Construction dust risk potential	16
Table 5.7:	Receiving environment sensitivity to construction dust	16
Table 5.8:	2015 Non-automatic monitoring sites	20
Table 5.9:	Diffusion tube monitoring results for 2019	21
Table 5.10:	Back casted 2015 monitoring survey results	21
Table 5.11:	Background concentration comparison	22
Table 5.12:	Background mapped concentrations for baseline and opening year	23
Table 5.13:	Receptor summary per modelled scenario	23
Table 5.14:	Background nitrogen deposition rates and critical load values	26
Table 5.15:	Comparison of total nitrogen deposition to critical load	27

Figures (TR010037/APP/6.2)

Figure 5.1	- Sensitive receptors within a distance of construction activities
Figure 5.2	- Location of proposed scheme
Figure 5.3	- Affected road network (ARN)
Figure 5.4	- Human health receptors sheet 1 of 6

Figure 5.4 - Human health receptors sheet 2 of 6
Figure 5.4 - Human health receptors sheet 3 of 6
Figure 5.4 - Human health receptors sheet 4 of 6
Figure 5.4 - Human health receptors sheet 5 of 6
Figure 5.4 - Human health receptors sheet 6 of 6
Figure 5.4 - Human health receptor locations sheet overview
Figure 5.5 - Ecological designated habitats
Figure 5.6 - Local authority monitoring locations
Figure 5.7 - Scheme specific monitoring locations
Figure 5.8 - Ecology transects

Appendices (TR010037/APP/6.3)

Appendix 5.1 – Air quality modelling process
Appendix 5.2 – Air quality verification and model adjustment
Appendix 5.3 – Receptor results

5. Air Quality

5.1. Introduction

- 5.1.1. Highways England (the Applicant) has submitted an application for an order to grant a development consent order (DCO) for the A47/A11 Thickthorn Junction (hereafter referred to as 'the Proposed Scheme'). The Proposed Scheme will create one new connector road between the A11 and A47 and provide a new link road between Cantley Lane South and the B1172 Norwich Road for continued access to the Thickthorn Junction. Two new underpasses and two new overbridges will also be constructed along with improvements to the Thickthorn roundabout. The Proposed Scheme will reroute traffic away from the existing Thickthorn Junction, which currently experiences delays and high levels of congestion during peak hours.
- 5.1.2. Under the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017, the Proposed Scheme is an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) development and as such requires submission of an Environmental Statement presenting the likely significant environmental effects of the Proposed Scheme.
- 5.1.3. Air quality is a consideration when the introduction of a scheme results in a change of emissions in the air. Air quality is assessed by measuring concentrations of select pollutants in the air, and the impact these pollutants have on sensitive receptor locations at relevant human and ecological exposure. These pollutants include nitrogen oxides (NO_x) nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulate matters (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}), all which originate from vehicle exhaust emissions.
- 5.1.4. As part of the EIA process, this Environmental Statement chapter reports the potential significant effects for Air Quality as a result of the Proposed Scheme. This assessment includes a review of the existing baseline conditions and considers the potential impacts of air quality associated with the Proposed Scheme on human health and ecosystems. Proportionate mitigation and enhancement have also been identified.
- 5.1.5. The approach to this assessment follows the Scoping Report (February 2018) (**TR010037/APP/6.5**) and subsequent agreed Scoping Opinion (March 2018) (**TR010037/APP/6.6**) for the Proposed Scheme. The approach follows the most up to date guidance in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB), LA 105 Air Quality (hereafter referred to as LA 105).

5.2. Competent expert advice

5.2.1. The competent expert for this chapter and all supporting appendices is an air quality specialist (BSc, Full Member of the Institute of Air Quality Management (MIAQM) and a Full Member of the Institution of Environmental Sciences (MIEnvSc)) with over 25 years' experience in the air quality field. They have prepared multiple road traffic assessments following best practice for EIA over the length of their career and have used their EIA knowledge and professional judgement in identifying the likely significant impacts associated with the Proposed Scheme and providing technical guidance through the assessment process.

5.3. Legislation and policy framework

5.3.1. Throughout this assessment EU limit values have applied notwithstanding the UK's withdrawal from the European Union and the end of the Transition Period. The limit values continue to have legal effect by virtue of EU Exit legislation. It is understood that the Environment Bill should receive Royal Assent in Autumn 2021 and that a new framework for air quality will be developed following that although it is not known if a different approach to limit values will be taken. Therefore, EU limit values will continue to apply unless and until Parliament legislates otherwise. The protection of public health is covered by the following criteria:

- Legally binding mandatory limit values set by the European Union (EU) – implemented by the 2010 Air Quality Standards Regulations.
- Objectives outlined in the UK National Air Quality Strategy (NAQS) where local authorities are required to achieve the limit values set by the EU – implemented by the 2015 Air Quality (England) Regulations.
- The air quality objectives relevant to this assessment are outlined in Table 5.1. The national air quality objectives (AQO) for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) are the same as the EU limit values.

Table 5.1: Air quality objectives for NO₂ and PM₁₀ for protection of human health

Pollutant	Air quality objectives	
	Concentration	Averaging time
NO ₂	200 µg/m ³	One-hour mean concentration should not be exceeded greater than 18 times a year
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean concentration
PM ₁₀	50 µg/m ³	Twenty-four-hour mean concentration should not exceed greater than 35 times a year
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean concentration

Pollutant	Air quality objectives	
	Concentration	Averaging time
PM _{2.5}	25 µg/m ³	Annual mean concentration
NO _x	30 µg/m ³	Annual mean concentration (target value for the protection of vegetation and ecosystems)

5.3.2. Table 5.2 summarises the legislation, regulatory and policy framework applicable in this assessment and air quality.

Table 5.2: Summary of legislation, regulatory and policy framework

Scale	Legislation or regulation	Summary
European	EU Directive on ambient air quality (2008/50/EC)	<p>The EU directives outlines the mandatory limit values for different pollutants including NO₂ and PM₁₀, which are considered key pollutants related to traffic.</p> <p>The directive supersedes previous air quality directives (excluding the Fourth Daughter Directive) and outlines a new regulatory framework for PM_{2.5}.</p> <p>Member states can postpone attainment deadlines under the directive.</p> <p>Defra assesses and reports annually on compliance with the limit values to the European Commission. The UK is divided into 43 zones, where the status of each zone in relation to the EU limit values is determined by the maximum measured or modelled concentration of that zone. The key pollutants of concern are NO₂ and PM₁₀. Zones can achieve compliance when everywhere within the zone does not exceed the EU limit value, there are exceptions to where the EU limit value applies.</p>
National	Part IV of the Environment Act 1995	<p>Sets guidelines for managing and protecting air quality within the UK and for local air quality management. It requires local authorities to regularly review and assess their local air quality and identify any exceedances of the Air Quality Strategy (AQS). The AQOs only apply to locations where members of the public may be regularly exposed. Where an air quality management area (AQMA) has been declared, it requires local authorities to prepare an air quality action plan (AQAP) describing the pollutant reducing measures which have been put in place.</p>
	The National Policy Statement for National Networks (NPS NN)	<p>The NPS NN sets out planning guidance for promoters of nationally significant infrastructure projects (NSIPs), and the basis for the examination by the Examining Authority and decisions by the Secretary of State</p> <p>It recognises that <i>“increases in emissions of pollutants during the construction or operation phases of projects on the national networks can result in the worsening of local air quality (though they can also have beneficial effects on air quality, for example through reduced congestion). Increased emissions can contribute to adverse impacts on human health, on protected species and habitats.”</i></p> <p>The environmental statement for a proposed project should describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The existing air quality levels • Air quality forecast at the time of the scheme opening, assuming the scheme is not built and then taking into account the impact of the scheme

Scale	Legislation or regulation	Summary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detail any significant air quality effects, their mitigation and any residual effects discussing both the operational and construction stages and the impacts of road traffic generated by the project. <p>Paragraphs 5.12 and 5.13 of the NPS NN provides advice for decision makers:</p> <p><i>“The Secretary of State must give air quality considerations substantial weight where, after taking into account mitigation, a project would lead to a significant air quality impact in relation to EIA and/or where they lead to a deterioration in air quality in a zone/agglomeration.”</i></p> <p><i>“the Secretary of State should refuse consent where, after taking into account mitigation, the air quality impact of the scheme will:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Result in a zone/agglomeration which is currently reported as being compliant with the Air Quality Directive becoming non-compliant.</i> <p><i>Affect the ability of a non-compliant area to achieve compliance within the most recent timescales reported to a European Commission at the time of the decision.”</i></p>
	The Air Quality Strategy (AQS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outlines air quality standards and objectives to protect people’s health and the environment.
	The Air Quality (Standards) Regulations 2010 (SI 2010/2001)	Provides statutory backing to the UK National Air Quality Standards (AQS) in England.
	Clean Air Strategy 2019	National strategy outlining the actions required from both the government and society to improve air quality. It includes updated goals to reduce public exposure to PM _{2.5} as recommended by the World Health Organisation
	Highways England Air Quality Strategy 2017	<p>Outlines Highways England’s approach to improving air quality as part of the 2015 to 2020 Road Investment Strategy. The strategy details the following actions to improve air quality:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exploring new and innovative approaches to improve air quality, such as air quality barriers. Working with key stakeholders such as DfT and Defra to develop and deliver policies to improve air quality. Where appropriate, designing out or mitigating poor air quality for Highways England road schemes. Improving air quality monitoring across the Highways England road network for example by installing 50 new continuous air quality monitoring stations. <p>Working to optimise use of the road network for example by informing customers of alternative routes for journeys to avoid sensitive area.</p>
	UK Plan for Tackling Roadside Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This plan details the government’s plan to reduce NO₂ concentrations within statutory limits within the shortest possible time. The plan identifies several local authorities with exceedances of the NO₂ objective and requires them to undertake a local assessment to consider the best options to achieve compliance.

Scale	Legislation or regulation	Summary
Local	South Norfolk Local Plan – Development Management Policies Document 2015	<p>The Development Management Policies document forms part of a set of documents that together constitute a local plan for the future development of the South Norfolk area. It sets out policies which will help the local council promote sustainable development and help determine planning applications. Policy DM 3.14 Pollution and, health and safety states:</p> <p><i>“a) All development should minimise and where possible reduce the adverse impact of all forms of emissions and other forms of pollution and ensure that there is no deterioration in water quality or water courses.</i></p> <p><i>b) When assessed individually or cumulatively, development proposals should ensure that there will be no unacceptable impacts on:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. Air quality</i> <i>ii. Surface and ground water quality</i> <i>iii. Land quality and condition</i> <i>iv. Health and safety of the public</i> <p><i>d) Developments which may impact on air quality will not be permitted where they have an unacceptable impact on human health, sensitive designated species or habitats, and general amenity, unless adequate mitigation can be ensured. Development will not be granted in locations where it is likely to result in an Air Quality Management Area being designated or the worsening of air quality in an existing Air Quality Management Area.</i></p>

5.4. Assessment methodology

5.4.1. This section describes the methodology which has been used for the assessment of air quality for both the construction and operational phase of the Proposed Scheme. The assessment methodology for the air quality assessment is based on the following guidance:

- DMRB LA 105 Air quality
- The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs’ (DEFRA) Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) technical guidance (hereafter referred to as LAQM.TG(16))

5.4.2. The level of assessment was identified using the “flow chart for the determination of simple or detailed assessment” given in LA 105. This allowed for the level of assessment to be classified, which is based on the risk potential of the project with the sensitivity of the receiving environment. The project’s risk potential was classified as high risk as the Proposed Scheme falls into the category of “major bypass and motorway junction improvements”. The Proposed Scheme is not located in an air quality management area (AQMA) and no exceedances of the AQS objectives have been measured. However, due to the proximity of sensitive receptors the receiving environment sensitivity was also classified as high due to the large numbers of human and ecological receptors identified within 50m of the roads triggering the traffic screening criteria (over

1057 receptors). Based on this review it was determined that a detailed assessment was required.

5.4.3. Sensitive human receptor locations and designated sites within 200m of the road links triggered by the screening criteria were selected to be included in the air quality assessment. Sensitive receptors include residential properties, schools and hospitals closest to the road, junction and anticipated to experience highest pollutant concentrations.

5.4.4. The air quality assessment, following the outlined guidance, includes:

- the discussion of existing baseline conditions
- the identification of sensitive receptors and AQMA, shown on constraints maps
- a qualitative assessment of the effect on air quality during the construction phase
- a detailed assessment of the changes in pollutant concentrations on local air quality, including nitrogen deposition rates, during the operational phase at selected sensitive receptors
- the determination of significant air quality effects, including a compliance assessment with the EU Air Quality Directive
- the identification of mitigation measures where appropriate

Construction phase

5.4.5. Following a review of the new DMRB guidance, the construction dust methodology outlined in the Scoping Opinion (**TR010037/APP/6.6**), which follows the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction, has now been superseded by LA 105.

Construction dust

5.4.6. A qualitative assessment of potential dust effects was undertaken in accordance with section 2.56 onwards in LA 105. The assessment determined the construction dust risk potential of the Proposed Scheme to the receiving environment. The receiving environment sensitivity to construction dust was also determined. The appropriate measures were identified to support mitigation, these are outlined in section 5.9.1.

Construction traffic

5.4.7. LA 105 advises where construction activities are programmed to last less than two years, it is unlikely there will be a significant effect on air quality or affect the UK's ability to comply with the Air Quality Directive. As construction activities are

programmed to last less than two years the assessment of construction traffic was screened out in line with paragraph 2.60 in LA105.

Operational phase – local air quality

Assessment scenarios

- 5.4.8. The local air quality assessment was undertaken using the Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) Roads Dispersion modelling software (version 5.0.0.1) and focuses on concentrations of air pollutants which can have an impact at local level. The assessment considered emissions of NO_x, NO₂ and PM₁₀. The key scenarios included in the assessment were:
- baseline year 2015 - for model verification
 - projected base year 2025 – for long term trends assessment (see section 5.4.22 for more detail)
 - opening year without the scheme – Do-Minimum (DM) 2025
 - opening year with the scheme – Do-Something (DS) 2025
- 5.4.9. Where there are no PM₁₀ exceedances of the air quality annual mean objective observed in the base year, no further assessment of PM₁₀ in the Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios is required.
- 5.4.10. LA 105 states that there is no need to model PM_{2.5} as the UK currently meets its legal requirements for the achievement of the PM_{2.5} air quality annual mean objective. However, PM₁₀ concentrations have been used to demonstrate the Proposed Scheme does not impact on the PM_{2.5} air quality objectives. For comparison with the PM_{2.5} air quality objective all predicted PM₁₀ concentrations are assumed to be PM_{2.5}. Therefore, if the predicted PM₁₀ concentration is less than the PM_{2.5} annual mean objective it can be assumed that there will be no exceedances of the PM_{2.5} air quality objective and therefore, screened out from further assessment
- 5.4.11. The baseline conditions were determined by reviewing air quality information in annual status reports, published by the local authorities. Information provided in these reports include historic monitoring data and current air quality concerns such as pollution hotspots reporting exceedance of the NO₂ and PM₁₀ annual mean objectives within the local authority. This information has allowed current baseline pollutant concentrations within the study area to be mapped. This data was used to verify the model against air quality monitoring data. A model verification year of 2015 has been used in accordance with the traffic data provided for the Proposed Scheme.
- 5.4.12. The local air quality assessment has been undertaken for the opening year. This is when pollutants are expected to be worst-case in terms of local air quality

impacts, continued improvements in emissions are expected in future years. The local air quality assessment has compared the predicted NO₂ and PM₁₀ annual mean concentrations against the relevant air quality objectives, this approach is consistent with LA 105.

Traffic data

- 5.4.13. Outputs from a strategic transport model developed for the Proposed Scheme have been used for this assessment. Full details of the transport model used can be found in the transport assessment in Chapter 4 of the Case for the Scheme (**TR010037/APP/7.1**). Data on vehicle flows, speed bands and percentage heavy duty vehicles (HDV) are available for the following periods in the assessed scenarios:
- AM peak period (07:00 to 10:00)
 - Inter-peak period (10:00 to 16:00)
 - PM peak period (16:00 to 19:00)
 - Off-peak period (19:00 to 07:00)
- 5.4.14. The hourly diurnal traffic flow characteristics were used to estimate the emissions data using the Highways England Speed Band Emissions Factors Toolkit (EFT V2.3). This spreadsheet used the hourly flows of both heavy duty vehicles (HDV) and light duty vehicles (LDV) for each time period along with speed data for each speed band category to derive the emissions data. In addition, information on road alignment, road width and local meteorological data (taken from Norwich Airport for the base year 2015) were inputted into the dispersion model.

Background concentrations


- 5.4.15. The results output from the air quality dispersion model estimates the contribution from road traffic emissions to annual mean concentrations of NO_x and PM₁₀ at selected sensitive receptors. These concentrations are combined with background concentration estimates, to account for other sources of air pollution not being modelled. This derives a total annual mean concentration which can then be compared against the relevant air quality objective.
- 5.4.16. Background concentrations have been taken from Defra's background maps; further details can be found in section 5.7.21.

Met Office data

- 5.4.17. Hourly sequential meteorological data for the base year of 2015, measured at the closest meteorological site at Norwich Airport was used for the air quality assessment. The wind rose for 2015 is presented in Figure 5.1 which highlights predominant wind directions from the west and south-west, which are associated

with the highest wind speeds. For full details on the model input parameters for this study see ES Appendix 5.1 (Air quality dispersion modelling process) (TR010037/APP/6.3).

Figure 5.1: Norwich 2015 wind rose



NO_x to NO₂ conversion

5.4.18. NO₂ annual mean concentrations were derived from the modelled road NO_x concentrations using Defra's NO_x to NO₂ calculator (version 7.1.1 for HE), which was the most recent version at the time of the assessment. The South Norfolk District was selected as the local authority data used for the conversion, as this is

where most selected receptors are located. “All other urban UK traffic” was selected for the traffic mix.

Verification

- 5.4.19. The model verification process has been conducted in accordance with the guidance outlined in LAQM.TG (16). The annual mean NO₂ concentrations for the 2015 base year were verified by comparing against available monitored data. The modelled road NO_x was compared against measured Road NO_x and adjusted accordingly in line with the guidance to account for systematic bias. The adjustment factor produced by the model verification has been applied to the modelling outputs. For full details on verification see ES Appendix 5.2 Air quality verification and model adjustment ((**TR010037/APP/6.3**)).
- 5.4.20. Due to the absence of monitored PM₁₀ concentrations within the study area, the adjustment factor derived from the modelled road NO_x comparison was applied to the modelled PM₁₀ concentrations. This approach is consistent with the guidance outlined in LAQM TG.(16).

Long-term trends

- 5.4.21. To ensure that the modelled roadside NO₂ concentrations are not too optimistic and to account for uncertainties in predicted future roadside nitrogen dioxide concentrations, a process known as “gap analysis” is completed, as outlined in LA 105. An additional scenario is included within the air quality assessment to enable the gap analysis. Gap analysis is the application of adjustment factors which take into account the assumed roadside rates of reduction in NO_x and NO₂ within Defra’s modelling tools when compared to observed roadside monitoring trends.
- 5.4.22. The additional scenario is called the projected base year and is modelled using the base year traffic data with the opening year vehicle emission factors and background concentrations. The final results for the opening year are then adjusted accordingly from the gap factors produced to reflect the long-term trend profile. The Interim Highways Agency Long Term Gap Analysis Calculator v1.1 (LTTE6) is used to produce the gap factor and adjust the opening year results.

Compliance with EU Directive on ambient air quality

- 5.4.23. Evaluation of compliance with EU limit values has been undertaken in accordance with guidance outlined in LA 105. The compliance risk assessment is based on identifying qualifying features which meet Defra’s interpretation of the Air Quality Directive. This includes public access and sensitive receptors (residential properties, schools & hospitals) that reside within 15m of the running lane/kerbside, but not within 25m of a junction.

5.4.24. Where qualifying features along each Pollution Climate Mapping (PCM) link are identified, the air quality assessment will model NO₂ concentrations for:

- the nearest qualifying feature along the PCM link where concentrations are highest
- a 4m point from the running lane in the same location as the qualifying feature to be compared against the national PCM modelled point

Update to guidance and scope of assessment

5.4.25. The scope of this assessment has been updated from the Scoping Report for the Proposed Scheme (February 2018) (TR010037/APP/6.5) following changes to DMRB guidance in 2019 from HA207/07 to LA 105. A summary of these key changes has been provided in Table 5.3. The key change is with regards to the designated sites and compliance risk assessments.

Table 5.3: Update to guidance and scope of assessment

Scoping Report (old DMRB HA207/07)	Update (new DMRB LA 105)
Screening criteria for study area for local assessment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road alignment will change by 5m or more • Daily traffic flows will change by 1,000 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) or more • Heavy duty vehicles (HDV) flows will change by 200 AADT or more • Daily average speed will change by 10km/hr or more • Peak hour speed will change by 20km/hr or more 	Screening criteria for study area for local assessment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road alignment will change by 5m or more • Daily traffic flows will change by 1,000 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) or more • Heavy duty vehicles (HDV) flows will change by 200 AADT or more • A change in speed band
Guidance from IANs published by Highways England	Now superseded by LA 105

Scoping Report (old DMRB HA207/07)	Update (new DMRB LA 105)
<p>Compliance risk assessment (outlined in IAN 175/13):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All road links which intersect the PCM model to be considered Identify opening year NO₂ concentrations from the PCM model Calculate total NO₂ concentrations with formula Scheme NO₂ calculated by modelling receptors within 200m of road links intersecting PCM model Identify any exceedances of EU limit value with increases greater than 0.4 µg/m³ as a result of the project in the opening year 	<p>Compliance risk assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only road links which trigger the screening criteria on PCM links to be considered Selection of nearest qualifying features to be modelled The area 25m around junctions shall be excluded from the compliance risk assessment, irrespective of whether there are any sensitive receptors or public access within 15m of the edge of the roads within the junction Local model 4m point validation to compare against the national PCM modelled output Identify any exceedances of EU limit value with increases greater than 0.4 µg/m³ as a result of the project in the opening year
<p>Designated site assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify all sites sensitive to nitrogen within 200m of study area Obtain total average nitrogen deposition for 5km grid square Calculate NO₂ for all transects in habitats Estimate dry deposition of NO₂ in transects Compare deposition rates with critical loads 	<p>Designated site assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify all sites sensitive to nitrogen within 200m of study area Additional designated habitats to be assessed Calculate road NO_x and NO₂ of all transects in habitats Convert road NO_x into nitrogen deposition rate Identify if N deposition with the project is less than the applicable lower critical load Identify if change in nitrogen deposition with and without the project is less than 1% of the lower critical load
<p>Regional assessment</p>	<p>No longer required in LA 105</p>

Consultation

5.4.26. Consultation was undertaken with Highways England to discuss the base year traffic data of 2015 and the assessment approach for the ES. It was concluded the most recent tools available at the time of the assessment would be used alongside the 2015 baseline traffic data.

Assessment criteria

Human health

5.4.27. LA 105 outlines guidance for evaluating significant air quality effects for a project for sensitive human receptors.

5.4.28. Only sensitive receptors where the outputs from the air quality modelling predict an exceedance in the Do-Minimum (DM) (opening year without Proposed Scheme) or the Do-Something (DS) (opening year with Proposed Scheme) scenario are assessed for significance. The differences in concentrations between the DM and DS scenarios, along with the numbers of receptors, are used to determine the level of significance as outlined in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4: Information required for judgement of significant air quality effects

Magnitude of change in concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ *)	Value of change in annual average NO_2 and PM_{10}	Total Number of receptors with:	
		Worsening of an air quality at sensitive receptor above the air quality threshold or the creation of a new exceedance	Improvement of an air quality at sensitive receptor above the air quality threshold or the removal of an existing exceedance
Large	Greater than $4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$		
Medium	Greater than $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$		
Small	Greater than $0.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$		
Total change		Sum of above	Sum of above

5.4.29. A conclusion of no likely significant effect for human health receptors can be determined if:

- modelled concentrations for human health are less than the air quality thresholds
- the difference in the concentrations between the Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios are imperceptible i.e. less than 1% (or $0.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) of the air quality threshold

5.4.30. LA 105 outlines a framework to provide guidance on the number of receptors which might result in a significant effect for each category within the magnitude of change criteria. Should the change in concentrations be greater than 1% of the air quality threshold then sensitive receptors will be assigned to the select criteria in Table 5.5.

Table 5.5: Guidance to the number of properties informing a judgement of significant air quality effects

Magnitude of change in annual mean NO ₂ or PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	Guideline bands for number of receptors with:	
	Worsening of an air quality objective already above the objective or the creation of a new exceedance	Improvement of an air quality objective already above the objective or the removal of an existing exceedance
Large (>4)	1 to 10	1 to 10
Medium (>2)	10 to 30	10 to 30
Small (>0.4)	30 to 60	30 to 60

- 5.4.31. The guidelines set out in Table 5.5 provide a guide to the change in concentrations at receptors along with the numbers of receptors affected. Consideration of both has determined whether the Proposed Scheme is likely to trigger a significant effect.
- 5.4.32. Where the number of properties resides between the lower and upper guideline bands for any of the magnitude of change bands, as outlined in Table 5.5, the following criteria has been used:
- The absolute concentration at each receptor. That is the modelled concentration 40 µg/m³ or 60 µg/m³.
 - How many receptors are there in each of the magnitude of change criteria i.e does the project create more worsening than improvements.
 - The magnitude of change in concentration at each receptor for example 0.6 µg/m³ or 1.8 µg/m³
- 5.4.33. Where the total number of receptors are greater than the upper guideline band in any of the magnitude categories the project is likely to trigger a significant air quality effect.
- 5.4.34. Where the numbers of receptors are less than the guideline band for each magnitude of change then the project is unlikely to trigger a significant air quality effect for human health.
- 5.4.35. The air quality assessment has therefore determined the number of properties residing between the lower and upper guideline bands for any magnitude of change criteria.
- 5.4.36. The compliance risk assessment of the Proposed Scheme will be assessed following the flowchart and guidance outlined in Figure 2.79 in LA 105.

Ecological

- 5.4.37. Following guidance outlined in LA 105, all designated sites within 200m of the study area sensitive to nitrogen (N) deposition have been assessed within the air quality assessment. The professional judgment of the competent expert for biodiversity has been used to determine which habitats are sensitive to N deposition.
- 5.4.38. Transects were created on designated sites which are nearest roads triggering the highest change in flows with the Proposed Scheme. These transects represented the most sensitive changes in air quality concentrations and subject to the highest pollutant concentrations. A transect was created within each qualifying designated site at 10m from roadside at the closest location within the designated site. Then at 10m intervals up to a maximum distance of 200m. This approach is consistent with LA 105.
- 5.4.39. An assessment of significant impacts will be determined if the N deposition with the project exceeds the lower critical load, or the change in nitrogen deposition with and without the project is greater than 1% of the lower critical load. The competent expert for biodiversity will assess the air quality attribute of the designated site to confirm whether it should be restored or maintained. The determination of a likely significant effect will be concluded by the biodiversity expert and reported in ES Chapter 8 Biodiversity ((TR010037/APP/6.1).

5.5. Assessment assumptions and limitations

- 5.5.1. Air quality modelling predictions will be based on the most reasonable, robust and representative methodologies in accordance with best practice guidance. However, there is an inherent level of uncertainty associated with model predictions:
- Uncertainties with traffic forecasts – baseline data provided was for the year 2015. This adds extra uncertainty with the data as traffic flows and background concentrations will not be representative of the current climate.
 - Uncertainties with vehicle emissions predictions.
 - At the time of undertaking the air quality assessment the most recently available tools were used.
 - 2017 background maps were back casted to the year 2015, using a factor produced by Defra. This factor may add a margin of error to the background maps used in this assessment.
 - The suitability of meteorological data.
 - Simplifications made within modelling calculations or post processing of the data that represent atmospheric dispersion or chemical reactions.

- Whilst there are these uncertainties in the air quality modelling. The modelling has been verified against baseline year measurement data in accordance with LAQM TG(16). This verification factor is applied to the baseline and Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios.
- The identification of sensitive receptors was based on OS Address Base Plus data. There is the possibility that these data do not contain properties which have been recently built and therefore may not be considered within the air quality assessment. All efforts were made to identify and consider such properties within the assessment.

5.6. Study area

Construction phase

5.6.1. The following criteria, outlined in Table 2.58a in LA 105, was used to determine the construction dust risk potential of the project:

Table 5.6: Construction dust risk potential

Risk	Examples of the types of project
Large	large smart motorway projects, bypass and major motorway junction improvements.
Small	junction congestion relief project i.e. small junction improvements, signalling changes. short smart motorway projects.

5.6.2. The dust risk potential for the project was classified as large due to the nature of the improvements of the Proposed Scheme, involving major bypass and motorway junction improvements.

5.6.3. Once the construction dust risk potential has been classified, the receiving environment sensitivity was determined using the criteria outlined in Table 5.7. The receiving environment sensitivity for the project was classed as high due to the presence of receptors within 50m and 100m from the DCO boundary. A constraints map identifying sensitive receptors within 0-50m, 50-100m and 100-200m of construction activities can be found in ES Figure 5.1 (TR010037/APP/6.2)

Table 5.7: Receiving environment sensitivity to construction dust

Construction dust risk potential	Distance from construction activities		
	0-50m	50-100m	100-200m
Large	High	High	Low
Small	High	Low	Low

- 5.6.4. The dust risk potential and receiving environment sensitivity was used to inform the measures to support the proposed mitigation.

Operational phase – local air quality

- 5.6.5. The location of the Proposed Scheme and key environmental constraints can be found in Figure 2.1 (**TR010037/APP/6.2**).
- 5.6.6. The following screening criteria, outlined in LA 105, were used to identify roads which are likely to be impacted by the Proposed Scheme. Roads which triggered the screening criteria below are required to be considered within the air quality assessment:
- an annual average daily traffic (AADT) flow change of 1,000 or more
 - a heavy duty vehicle (HDV) flow change of 200 or more
 - a change in speed band
 - a change in carriageway alignment by greater than 5m
- 5.6.7. Once the road links triggering the screening criteria are identified, all adjoining roads, with modelled traffic data, within 200m of the Proposed Scheme are required to be selected. This forms the air quality study area and is known as the affected road network (ARN). The ARN is shown in ES Figure 5.3 (**TR010037/APP/6.2**).
- 5.6.8. The ARN was selected from the traffic model known as the traffic reliability area (TRA). The TRA spanned a large spacial extent and covered all areas sensitive to changes in air quality appropriate for this assessment.
- 5.6.9. The level of assessment was identified using guidance outlined in LA 105 and the flow diagram in Figure 2.10 of LA105. The level of assessment is based on the risk of the project with the sensitivity of the receiving environment. The project's risk potential was classified as high risk as the Proposed Scheme fell into the category of "major bypass and motorway junction improvements" given in LA105. The receiving environmental sensitivity was also classified as "high" due to 1057 sensitive receptors identified within 50m of the roads triggering the traffic screening criteria. Based on this assessment it was determined that a detailed assessment was required.
- 5.6.10. The locations which represent human exposure for each triggered link were identified. These were predominantly residential receptors, but also include hospitals and schools. The receptor locations are shown in ES Figure 5.4 (**TR010037/APP/6.2**).
- 5.6.11. All designated sites which include Special Areas of Conservation , Ramsar and Special Protection Areas (SPAs). Local wildlife sites, ancient woodland and

veteran trees within 200m of the triggered links were also identified. Designated sites contain features which may be sensitive to pollutants in the air which have the potential to adversely affect vegetation. The designated sites were assessed by the competent expert for biodiversity for those sensitive to nitrogen deposition and included in the assessment. The designated sites identified for this air quality assessment are shown in ES Figure 5.5 (TR010037/APP/6.2).

5.6.12. The modelled road NO_x concentration for each ecological transect was converted to road NO₂ for every point along the transect in the baseline, Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios. The road NO₂ was then converted to dry nutrient nitrogen (N) deposition rate (kg N/ha/yr) and assessed.

5.7. Baseline conditions

5.7.1. To determine the significance of an impact, it is important to outline and understand baseline conditions in and around the study area. This allows a comparison to be drawn against any potential changes in the assessment of the air quality.

5.7.2. For the purpose of this assessment, a desk-based study was undertaken where air quality data were obtained from the following sources:

- Defra – LAQM 1km x 1km grid background maps
- South Norfolk Council Annual Status Reports - 2016-2019
- Norwich City Council
- Defra Pollution Climate Mapping (PCM)
- Defra Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) interactive map

Local air quality

5.7.3. The Proposed Scheme is located within the administrative boundary of South Norfolk Council, with a small area north of the ARN spanning into the administrative district of Norwich City Council.

5.7.4. There are no AQMAs currently declared in South Norfolk Council. The closest AQMA is located over 3km to the north-east, within Norwich City Centre, and does not fall within the modelled study area.

Air quality monitoring

Local authority monitoring

5.7.5. For the purpose of this assessment, a baseline year of 2015 has been modelled in accordance with the baseline traffic data provided.

- 5.7.6. For a full summary of South Norfolk Council's monitoring data ranging from years 2015 to 2018, refer to ES Appendix 5.1 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**). At the time of the assessment, 2018 was the most recent year of published monitoring data.
- 5.7.7. In 2015, South Norfolk Council did not operate any NO₂ automatic monitoring stations. Within Norwich there are two automatic monitoring sites. The nearest automatic site is Lakenfields and is located approximately 1.5km north-east of the ARN. This site is part of the Defra automatic and urban rural network (AURN) and classified as an urban background site. The other automatic monitoring site is Castle Meadow which is classified as a roadside monitoring site.
- 5.7.8. Due to the distance from the ARN and the Proposed Scheme, these automatic monitoring sites were not used within the assessment for model verification.
- 5.7.9. The Lakenfields urban background monitoring site was used for comparison against the Defra background maps to demonstrate the reliability of the maps in predicting the local background concentrations around the ARN. The Castle Meadow roadside monitoring location was used to undertake a co-location study for the scheme specific monitoring. This roadside location was identified as being representative of the A47/A11 Thickthorn Junction study area, as the locations where scheme specific monitoring was undertaken were roadside locations.
- 5.7.10. In 2015, South Norfolk Council undertook non-automatic diffusion tube monitoring at 29 sites to assess compliance with the annual mean NO₂ air quality objective. There are nine diffusion tubes located within the ARN, seven of which are classed as suburban monitoring sites, one is a kerbside site and one a roadside site.
- 5.7.11. The closest monitoring point to the Proposed Scheme is a suburban monitoring location (DT11), located north of the B1172 Norwich Road. The other diffusion tubes were located within the village of Cringleford and the town of Wymondham. The location of the monitoring point DT11 can be found on ES Figure 5.6 (**TR010037/APP/6.2**).
- 5.7.12. There were no exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective in the year 2015. Due to the suitability of the monitoring locations, only one monitoring site (DT26), was used for model verification purposes, as outlined in ES Appendix 5.2 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**).
- 5.7.13. Full details of the local authority monitoring location and the 2015 NO₂ annual mean concentration used within this assessment can be found in Table 5.8. For full details and reasoning on why the local authority monitoring locations were excluded from model verification, refer to ES Appendix 5.2 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**).
- 5.7.14. South Norfolk Council did not monitor PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} concentrations in 2015.

Table 5.8: 2015 Non-automatic monitoring sites

Site	Location	Site classification	National grid		2015 annual mean NO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)
			X	Y	
DT26	Newmarket Road, Cringleford	Roadside	619801	305859	21.4

Scheme specific monitoring

- 5.7.15. To determine the current baseline conditions around the study area, a six-month nitrogen dioxide survey was conducted for the purpose of this air quality assessment. The diffusion tube survey ran from September 2019 to March 2020. This monitoring was conducted to supplement the existing monitoring in place by South Norfolk Council.
- 5.7.16. The monitoring was measured and reported at four locations, three near the Proposed Scheme (one on the B1172 Norwich Road west of the scheme, one located adjacent to the Thickthorn roundabout and one located on Cantley Lane South) and one along the B1172, west of the Proposed Scheme. These locations were representative of sensitive receptors and road emission sources where pollutant concentrations are high.
- 5.7.17. As outlined above, for quality control purposes triplicate tubes were colocated at the automatic site at Castle Meadow in Norwich, this follows LAQM TG(16) best practice. The locations of all scheme-specific monitoring sites in relation to the Proposed Scheme are shown in ES Figure 5.7 (**TR010037/APP/6.2**).
- 5.7.18. At the time of the modelling assessment, the 2019 automatic measurements data were still provisional. These data were used with caution to bias adjust and annualise. The bias adjustment and annualisation was completed in accordance with LAQM.TG(16) to provide a 2019 annual mean NO₂ concentration. The monitoring survey concluded that there were no exceedances of the annual mean NO₂ objective within the vicinity of the Proposed Scheme. The highest concentration measured within the study area was 24.0 µg/m³ at Thickthorn 3 (located on the B1172 Norwich Road west of the Thickthorn roundabout) in 2019. This is below the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40 µg/m³. Final bias adjusted and annualised results for the monitoring survey can be found in Table 5.9. The full methodology for bias adjustment and annualisation can be found in ES Appendix 5.2 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**).

Table 5.9: Diffusion tube monitoring results for 2019

Site	Location	Site classification	National grid		2019 annual mean NO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)
			X	Y	
Thickthorn 1	Cantley Lane South	Roadside	618572	305054	12.8
Thickthorn 2	East Lodge, B1172 Norwich Road	Roadside	618094	305580	19.3
Thickthorn 3	B1172 Norwich Road leading to Thickthorn roundabout	Roadside	618311	305481	24.0
Thickthorn 4	New Road	Roadside	614942	304069	15.4
Colocation 1	Norwich Castle Meadow Automatic Station	Roadside	623202	308615	39
Colocation 2		Roadside	623202	308615	39
Colocation 3		Roadside	623202	308615	40

5.7.19. The results of the 2019 monitoring survey were factored backwards to 2015 in line with the baseline year and to be used for model verification purposes. The full methodology for producing the back casting factor can be found in ES Appendix 5.2 (TR010037/APP/6.3). The results from this monitoring survey were also used to determine 2015 equivalent pollutant concentrations around the proposed scheme and assess whether there were any areas close to the NO₂ annual mean objective. The back casted 2015 monitoring survey results are presented in Table 5.10.

5.7.20. The highest concentration around the Proposed Scheme was predicted to be 32.2 µg/m³ in 2015, which is significantly below the annual mean objective of 40 µg/m³.

Table 5.10: Back casted 2015 monitoring survey results

Site	Location	Site classification	National grid		2015 annual mean NO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)
			X	Y	
Thickthorn 1	Cantley Lane South	Roadside	618572	305054	17.2
Thickthorn 2	East Lodge, B1172 Norwich Road	Roadside	618094	305580	25.9
Thickthorn 3	B1172 Norwich Road leading to Thickthorn Junction	Roadside	618311	305481	32.2
Thickthorn 4	New Road	Roadside	614942	304069	20.6

Site	Location	Site classification	National grid		2015 annual mean NO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³)
			X	Y	
Colocation 1	Norwich Castle Meadow Automatic Station	Roadside	623202	308615	53
Colocation 2		Roadside	623202	308615	52
Colocation 3		Roadside	623202	308615	53

Background mapping

- 5.7.21. Background pollutant maps provide estimates of background concentrations for specific pollutants. They are used to better understand the contribution of local sources to pollutant concentrations. Defra provides estimates of background pollution concentrations for NO_x, NO₂ and PM₁₀ across the UK for each 1km grid square for every year from 2017 to 2030. Background pollution maps have been obtained from Defra for this assessment.
- 5.7.22. The most recent 2017 based background maps were used for this assessment. Due to a baseline year of 2015, the DEFRA background back-casting NO_x factor was used to produce 2015 annual mean NO_x concentrations from the 2017 based background maps. The nearest urban background monitoring site at Lakenfields was used to calculate a 2017 to 2015 back casting factor for PM₁₀. A comparison between the back casted 2015 background values against the concentrations recorded at the nearest urban background monitoring site at Lakenfields can be found in Table 5.11. Full details of the factor used can be found in ES Appendix 5.1 (TR010037/APP/6.3).
- 5.7.23. The range of background NO_x and PM₁₀ concentrations for the study area and around the Proposed Scheme can be found in Table 5.12. Concentrations for the base year (2015) and opening year (2025) have been presented. A more detailed breakdown of background concentrations per 1km grid square can be found in Appendix 5.1 (TR010037/APP/6.3).

Table 5.11: Background concentration comparison

Grid square	NO _x (µg/m ³)		PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	
	Back-casted 2015 concentration	Measured NO _x concentration	Back-casted 2015 concentration	Measured PM ₁₀ concentration
623500_306500	20.0	16.0	13.3	15.0

Table 5.12: Background mapped concentrations for baseline and opening year

Year	Range	NO _x (µg/m ³)	PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)
2015	Across the study area	10.6 - 33.4	11.6 – 17.8
2025	Across the study area	7.8 – 26.5	11.3 – 18.0

Pollution Climate Mapping model

- 5.7.24. Defra's Pollution Climate Mapping (PCM) is used to report compliance with the EU Directive (EU directive 2008/50/EC) and provides NO₂ concentrations for several roads across the UK for a selection of futures. The PCM model projections used in the assessment were released in 2019, with a reference year of 2017.
- 5.7.25. To determine whether the project is at risk of compliance with the EU directive, the study area is compared with the PCM network published by Defra. There were no road links from the PCM model in the study area for the Proposed Scheme and therefore the project does not affect the UK's reported ability to comply with the Air Quality Directive in the shortest timescales possible.

Selected sensitive receptors

- 5.7.26. Sensitive receptors have been chosen following the guidance outlined in LA 105. For each scenario a mixture of residential, hospitals and schools have been chosen for this assessment. A selection of committed development receptors has been included in the DM and DS scenarios. A degree of professional judgement was used when selecting the receptors, where receptors located nearest to the roads triggering the screening criteria were selected. For each triggered link only one receptor representing the closest receptor was chosen. These receptors were considered the most sensitive to changes in air quality concentrations, and subject to the highest road traffic emissions. A summary of the number and types of receptors per scenario is detailed in Table 5.13. A full detailed summary of receptor locations can be found in ES Appendix 5.3 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**).

Table 5.13: Receptor summary per modelled scenario

Modelled scenario	Receptor type	Count	Total
Base - 2015	Residential	125	146
	School	12	
	Hospital or hospice	9	

Modelled scenario	Receptor type	Count	Total
DM & DS 2025	Residential	125	155
	School	12	
	Hospital or hospice	9	
	Committed Developments	9	

Ecological receptors

5.7.27. Of all the ecological sites identified being within 200m of a triggered link, there were four designated ecological sites (all County Wildlife Sites) sensitive to nitrogen deposition:

- Intwood Carr – County Wildlife Site
- Meadow Farm – County Wildlife Site
- Earlham and Colney Marshes – County Wildlife Site
- Bowthorpe Marsh – County Wildlife Site & Local Nature Reserve

5.7.28. The location of these ecological transects can be found in ES Figure 5.8 (TR010037/APP/6.2), along with the full transect results in ES Appendix 5.3 (TR010037/APP/6.3).

5.8. Potential impacts

5.8.1. This section presents the findings of the assessment of potential impacts on air quality.

Operation

Local air quality: human health

NO₂ results

5.8.2. This section presents the potential impacts of the Proposed Scheme on local air quality within the study area. The presentation of annual mean NO₂ concentrations include sensitive receptors along locations with the greatest change resulting with the Proposed Scheme in place. Modelled sensitive receptors can be found in ES Figure 5.4 (TR010037/APP/6.2).

5.8.3. Modelling has been undertaken using the approach outlined in LA 105, using the Interim Highways Agency Long Term Gap Analysis Calculator v1.1 (LTTE6). This approach is considered the most robust in projecting and estimating the future

concentrations in 2025 and considers the uncertainty associated in long-term trends. These results have formed the basis in estimating the impact and significance of the Proposed Scheme on selected sensitive receptors, alongside determining compliance with the EU directive for annual mean NO₂ concentrations.

- 5.8.4. The full set of results for annual mean concentrations and the changes (increases and decreases) in NO₂ concentrations between the DM 2025 and DS 2025 opening years can be found in ES Appendix 5.3 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**).
- 5.8.5. The total annual mean NO₂ concentrations were estimated for the opening year with and without the Proposed Scheme at 155 sensitive human receptors. The NO₂ concentrations were adjusted following verification outlined in ES Appendix 5.2 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**). The final concentrations were compared to the AQOs to determine whether there are any exceedances.
- 5.8.6. There are no exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective at any of the selected sensitive human receptors in the opening year with and without the Proposed Scheme. Annual mean NO₂ concentrations were well below the AQO of 40 µg/m³ across all modelled receptors in the DM 2025 and DS 2025 scenarios.
- 5.8.7. The maximum modelled annual mean NO₂ concentrations in the DM and DS scenario were 19.7 µg/m³ and 18.9 µg/m³ respectively at receptor 63, located on Pople Street in the town of Wymondham. The decrease in concentration in the DS scenario is due to a reduction in AADT flow by over 400 vehicles once the Proposed Scheme is in place. The predicted NO₂ annual mean is below the AQO of 40 µg/m³.
- 5.8.8. The greatest increase in annual mean NO₂ concentration is expected to occur at receptors 19 and 87. Receptor 19, located on Kimberley Street in Wymondham indicates an increase in annual mean NO₂ concentrations from 12.8 µg/m³ to 13.5 µg/m³, an increase of 0.6 µg/m³. Kimberley Street is predicted to experience an increase of 1105 AADT vehicles with the Proposed Scheme in place, triggering the traffic screening criteria. Receptor 87 located near Station Lane, adjacent to the A11 near Hethersett, indicates an increase in annual mean NO₂ concentrations from 15.0 µg/m³ to 15.6 µg/m³, also an increase of 0.6 µg/m³. The A11 is predicted to experience an increase in AADT by 4238 vehicles with the Proposed Scheme in place, again triggering the traffic screening criteria. However, the predicted annual mean concentrations are below the AQO of 40 µg/m³ in both the DM and DS scenarios.
- 5.8.9. The greatest improvement in annual mean concentrations is expected to occur at receptors 61. Receptor 61 shows a decrease from 12.6 µg/m³ in the DM scenario

to 11.8 µg/m³ in the DS scenario. Receptor 61 is located in the town of Wymondham, next to a road which is predicted to experience a reduction in AADT flows by 2341 vehicles, resulting in an improvement in annual mean NO₂ concentrations.

- 5.8.10. Overall, 50 of the 155 receptors are expected to show a deterioration in air quality, with 88 showing an improvement in air quality with the Proposed Scheme in place. The remaining 17 receptors experienced no change in concentrations with the scheme in place. All concentrations are well below the AQO of 40 µg/m³.

PM₁₀ results

- 5.8.11. The PM₁₀ concentrations were adjusted according to the methodology outlined in ES Appendix 5.2 (**TR010037/APP/6.3**). There are no predicted exceedances of the PM₁₀ annual mean AQOs in the baseline year. The highest concentration was recorded at receptor 128 at 16.7 µg/m³. All annual mean concentrations are predicted to be below the 40 µg/m³ AQO. In line with LA 105 and with no exceedances being reported in the baseline scenario, PM₁₀ was not modelled in the Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios.
- 5.8.12. If assumed, as worst case, that all of the predicted PM₁₀ concentrations are PM_{2.5} for the baseline scenario at all specified receptors, this would also indicate that there would be no exceedances of the PM_{2.5} air quality objective of 25 µg/m³.

Ecological Receptors

Nitrogen deposition assessment

- 5.8.13. A nitrogen deposition assessment was conducted to assess whether there was potential for a significant impact to be predicted. The background nitrogen deposition rates (kg N/ha/yr) were sourced from the Air Pollution Information System (APIS). The APIS website was used and the competent expert for biodiversity was consulted to identify which features of the identified designated habitats were sensitive to nitrogen deposition.
- 5.8.14. The relevant nitrogen critical load values and background information used in this assessment is presented in Table 5.14.

Table 5.14: Background nitrogen deposition rates and critical load values

Designated habitat	Nitrogen critical load class	Critical Load (kg N/ha/yr)	Average background nitrogen deposition rate (kg N/ha/yr)	Species sensitive to nitrogen deposition?
Intwood Carr	Broadleaved, mixed and yew woodland	10-20	19.9	Yes
Meadow Farm	Calcareous grassland	5-10	19.9	Yes

Earlham Colney	Fen, marsh and swamp	10-15	16.6	Yes
Bowthorpe Marsh	Fen, marsh and swamp	10-15	16.6	Yes

5.8.15. The modelled road NO_x was converted to road NO₂ using the NO_x-NO₂ calculator. The following equations, taken from paragraph 2.43 onwards in LA 105, outlines the steps taken to obtain a total receptor nitrogen deposition rate.

1a. *Conversion rate for grassland and similar habitats*

$$= 0.14 \frac{\text{kg N}}{\text{ha yr}} \text{ (obtained from LA105)}$$

1b. *Conversion rate for forests and similar habitats*

$$= 0.29 \frac{\text{kg N}}{\text{ha yr}} \text{ (obtained from LA105)}$$

$$2. \text{Road NO}_2 \text{ x conversion rate} = \text{dry nutrient (N) deposition rate} \left(\frac{\text{kg N}}{\text{ha yr}} \right)$$

$$3. \text{Dry nutrient (N) deposition rate} + \text{background nitrogen deposition rate} \\ = \text{total receptor nitrogen deposition rate}$$

5.8.16. The total receptor nitrogen deposition rate was compared against the critical load values of the most sensitive site feature for each designated habitat. The change in nitrogen deposition was also compared against the lower critical load value for each designated habitat. This approach is consistent with LA 105.

5.8.17. The comparison of the total nitrogen deposition rate to the critical load is presented in Table 5.15. The first point of each modelled transect is presented as this represented the highest and worst-case concentrations.

Table 5.15: Comparison of total nitrogen deposition to critical load

Transect receptor ID	Distance from road (m)	Total nitrogen deposition rate (kg N/ha/yr)			DM-DS as % of lower critical load
		DM 2025	DS 2025	DM-DS	
Intwood_Carr_CWS_01	10	21.63	21.56	-0.07	-0.70%
Meadow_Farm_CWS_01	10	20.78	20.79	0.01	0.00%
Earlham_Colney_CWS_01	10	16.73	16.72	-0.01	0.00%

Transect receptor ID	Distance from road (m)	Total nitrogen deposition rate (kg N/ha/yr)			DM-DS as % of lower critical load
		DM 2025	DS 2025	DM-DS	
Bowthorpe_Marsh_CWS_LNR_01	10	16.74	16.73	-0.01	-0.10%

5.8.18. The nitrogen deposition assessment concluded the total nitrogen deposition rate with the Proposed Scheme was above the applicable lower critical load for each designated site. However, the change in nitrogen deposition with and without the Proposed Scheme was less than 1% of the lower critical load for each designated site assessed. In line with the criteria outlined in Figure 2.98 in LA 105, no significant effects on designated sites were identified.

Assessment of significant effects

Construction

5.8.19. As construction activities are programmed to last less than two years, it is unlikely there would be a significant effect on air quality or affect the UK's ability to comply with the Air Quality Directive. The construction traffic assessment was therefore screened out of the assessment.

5.8.20. With the recommendation of best practice construction mitigation measures in place, the impact of construction dust is considered highly unlikely to trigger a significant air quality effect. Therefore, in accordance with LA 105, no significant effects on sensitive receptors have been identified.

Operation

5.8.21. There are no receptors expected to exceed the annual mean NO₂ AQO in the opening year scenarios, all modelled receptors have predicted annual mean NO₂ concentrations well below the objective. The nitrogen deposition assessment concluded the change in nitrogen deposition with and without the Proposed Scheme was less than 1% of the lower critical load for each designated site around the study area. In accordance with LA 105, no significant effects on human health and ecological receptors have been identified as a result of the Proposed Scheme being in place.

5.9. Design mitigation and enhancement measures

Construction

5.9.1. The construction dust assessment has concluded there are no significant effects with the Proposed Scheme for human and ecological receptors. The assessment has been used to inform the best practice mitigation measures in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (TR010037/APP/7.4). Based on a

construction dust risk potential of high for the project, the following activities are recommended to monitor the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures which will be included in the EMP(**TR010037/APP/7.4**):

1. Development of dust management plan with measures to monitor effectiveness of mitigation as part of the EMP
2. Daily onsite and off-site inspections to be included in EMP
3. Record of complaints/exceptional dust events to be included in EMP

Operation

5.9.2. The air quality assessment has concluded there are no significant adverse effects with the Proposed Scheme for human health and ecological receptors. With no significant effects being reported, no mitigation measures have been proposed.

5.10. Assessment of likely significant effects

5.10.1. In line with sections 5.12 and 5.13 in the NPS NN and the relevant Council's local development policy plans, the findings of this air quality assessment are consistent with the requirements outlined in said documents. No significant effects have been identified in the air quality assessment, and no mitigation measures been recommended, there will not be any significant residual effects on the assessed human health or ecological sensitive receptors.

5.11. Monitoring

5.11.1. As no significant effects on human health receptors have been identified as a result of the Proposed Scheme being in place, additional air quality monitoring is not required.

5.12. Summary

5.12.1. A detailed air quality assessment has been undertaken to assess the air quality impact during the operational phase of the Proposed Scheme.

5.12.2. A baseline desk study identified there were no AQMAs within close proximity to the scheme. The nearest AQMA is located over 3km to the north-east within Norwich City Centre, declared by Norwich City Council. A review of the local monitoring data shows there are no exceedances of the annual mean NO₂ AQO. There were no monitoring sites measuring PM₁₀ data within the study area. A Highways England six-month monitoring study was conducted to supplement current available monitoring data and identify pollutant conditions. There were no exceedances of the annual mean NO₂ AQO observed from the monitoring study.

- 5.12.3. The air quality assessment predicted concentrations at all human health receptors to be well below the AQO of 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Overall, 50 of the 155 receptors are expected to show a deterioration in air quality, with 88 showing an improvement in air quality with the Proposed Scheme in place. Although 50 receptors are showing a deterioration, the predicted air quality concentrations are well below the AQO with the majority of receptors.
- 5.12.4. The greatest increase in pollutant concentrations occurred at residential receptors 19, located on Kimberley Street in Wymondham and 87, near Station Lane adjacent to the A11. Both receptors have predicted an increased concentration of 0.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ with the Proposed Scheme in place. Although these receptors showed the greatest worsening, the air quality concentrations are still well below the AQO of 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Both receptors are located near roads which trigger higher level of traffic flow changes.
- 5.12.5. At the time of undertaking the assessment, the most recently available tools were used however since completion of the assessment new tools have been made available. It is unlikely that the conclusions discussed in this report would change the significance, had the assessment used these latest versions. Particularly given the maximum impact from the Proposed Scheme is less than 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, and all concentrations were below the AQO.
- 5.12.6. Baseline results have shown annual mean PM_{10} concentrations to be well below the AQO. As a result, PM_{10} was not included in the opening year modelling scenarios.
- 5.12.7. The nitrogen deposition assessment concluded the change in nitrogen deposition with and without the Proposed Scheme was less than 1% of the lower critical load for each designated site assessed. In line with the criteria outlined in LA 105, no significant effects on designated sites were found.
- 5.12.8. The air quality assessment has concluded there will be no significant effects on air quality at human health and ecological receptors as a result of the Proposed Scheme.

5.13. References

Air Pollution Information System. Designated Site Information and Critical Loads Data: <http://www.apis.ac.uk/> (accessed 2020).

Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010, Statutory Instruments 2010 No. 1001

South Norfolk Council. Air Quality Annual Status Reports (ASR) 2014-2019.

South Norfolk Local Plan. Development Management Policies Document. 2015

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (Defra). Air Modelling for Defra: Pollution Climate Mapping (PCM). 2017.

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (Defra). UK Air: AQMAs Interactive Map: <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/maps/> (accessed 2020).

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (Defra). UK Clean Air Strategy. 2019.

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (Defra). UK plan for tackling roadside nitrogen dioxide concentrations. 2017.

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (Defra, 2018). Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) Technical Guidance (TG16). (LAQM.TG(16)).

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs. Background Mapping Data for Local Authorities: <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-home> (accessed 2020)

Department for Transport. National Networks National Policy Statement (NN NPS). 2014.

Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB). Volume 11, Section 3, Part 1. LA 105 – Air Quality.

Environment Act 1995, Part IV.

European Union Directive 2008/50/EC of 21 May 2008 of the European Parliament and of the council on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe

Highways England. Air Quality Strategy. 2017.

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019.

Norwich City Council: <https://www.norwich.gov.uk/site/> (accessed 2020).

The Air Quality (England) Regulations 2015. Statutory Instruments 2015 No. 0000

The Air Quality Strategy (AQS) for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, 2007.

5.14. Glossary

AADT: Annual Average Daily Traffic

HDV: Heavy Duty Vehicles defined as vehicles with gross weight above 3.5 tonnes

ARN: Affected Road Network

Air Quality Management Area (AQMA): An area identified by a local authority where the local air quality objectives not being achieved or are not likely to be achieved within the relevant period. As required by Part IV of the Environment Act 1995.

Air Quality Standards (AQS) objectives: Ambient concentration not to be exceeded, either without exception or with a permitted number of exceedances, within a specified timescale

Air Quality Strategy: The Government's air quality policy document for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland

Diffusion tube: Simple monitoring device for air pollutants that absorbs substances from the air by diffusion (e.g. nitrogen dioxide) into a liquid film coated onto the inside of a plastic tube.

Exceedance: Infringement environmental protection standards by exceeding allowable limits or concentration levels.

Nitrogen oxides (NO_x): Nitrogen oxides is a term used to describe a mixture of nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), referred to collectively as NO_x. These are primarily formed from atmospheric and fuel nitrogen as a result of high temperature combustion. The most important sources in the UK are road traffic and power generation.

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀): Particulate Matter less than 10 microns, tiny solid or liquid particles of soot, dust, smoke, fumes, and aerosols. The size of the particles (10 microns or smaller, about 0.0004 inches or less) allows them to easily enter the air sacs in the lungs where they may be deposited, resulting in adverse health effects.